PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

EC'D	2	0	FEB	2006
NIPO				PCT

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference		·		
GENOM.032VPC	FOR FURTHER A	CTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416	
International application No.	International filing date	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)	
PCT/US04/27412 23 August 2004 (23.0		.2004)	25 August 2003 (25.08.2003)	
International Patent Classification (IP	C) or national classification a	and IPC	3-1-20-1-20-20-20-20-20-20-20-20-20-20-20-20-20-	
IPC(8): C12Q 1/68; C12P 19/34 and	US Cl.: 435/6, 91.1, 91.2			
Applicant	-			
GENEOHM SCIENCES, INC.				
Examining Authority in	ider Article 35 and transm	litted to the applicant a	shed by this International Preliminary according to Article 36.	
2. This REPORT consists of a total of sheets, including this cover sheet.				
3. This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, comprising:				
a. (sent to the applicant and to the International Bureau) a total of sheets, as follows:				
sheets of this rej 70.16 and	he description, claims and port and/or sheets contain Section 607 of the Admin	d/or drawings which h ning rectifications auth istrative Instructions).	have been amended and are the basis norized by this Authority (see Rule	
sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box.				
, co	ntaining a sequence listing the Supplemental Box R	and/or tables related	and number of electronic carrier(s)) thereto, in electronic form only, as Listing (see Section 802 of the	
4. This report contains ind	ications relating to the foll	lowing items:		
	Basis of the report			
Box No. II	Priority			
Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability		relty, inventive step and industrial	
Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention	L		
Box No. V	Reasoned statement unde	r Article 35(2) with	regard to novelty, inventive step or supporting such statement	
I I	Certain documents cited		such salement	
Box No. VII	ertain defects in the international application			
Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the	e international applicat	tion	
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion of	of this report	
25 October 2005 (25 to 2005)		•		
25 October 2005 (25.10.2005) Name and mailing address of the IPEA/ US		01 February 2006 (01.02.2006)		
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: IPEA/US		Authorized officer		
Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450		James Martinell	7. Roberts for	
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Facsimile No. (571) 273-3201		Telephone No. (571) 2	72-0719	
orm PCT/IPEA/409 (cover sheet)(April 2005)			-	

International application No.	
PCT/US04/27412	

Box No. I Basis of the report
1. With regard to the language, this report is based on:
the international application in the language in which it was filed.
a translation of the international application into, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:
international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b))
publication of the international application (under Rule 12.4(a))
international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2(a) and/or 55.3(a))
2. With regard to the elements of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):
the international application as originally filed/furnished
the description:
pages 1-143 as originally filed/furnished pages* NONE received by this Authority on
pages* NONE received by this Authority on
the claims:
pages 144-180 as originally filed/furnished
pages* NONE as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19
pages* NONE received by this Authority on
pages* NONE received by this Authority on
the drawings:
pages 1-17 as originally filed/furnished
pages* NONE received by this Authority on pages* NONE received by this Authority on
a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing.
3. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:
the description, pages.
the claims, Nos
the drawings, sheets/figs
the sequence listing (specify):
any table(s) related to the sequence listing (specify):
4. This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).
the description, pages
the claims, Nos.
the drawings, sheets/figs
the sequence listing (specify):
any table(s) related to the sequence listing (specify):
* If item 4 applies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "superseded."
orm PCT/IPEA/409 (Box No. I) (April 2005)

International application No.	
PCT/US04/27412	

Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention
1. In respondent in the second	Lack of unity of invention ponse to the invitation to restrict or pay additional fees the applicant has, within the applicable time limit: restricted the claims. paid additional fees. paid additional fees under protest, and, where applicable, the protest fee paid additional fees under protest but the applicable protest fee was not paid neither restricted the claims nor paid additional fees Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose, according to 58.1, not to invite the applicant to restrict or pay additional fees. rity considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rules 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is: ied with. implied with for the following reasons:
4. Consequent	ly, this report has been established in respect of the following parts of the international application:
all pa	

International application No. PCT/US04/27412

Box No. V Reasoned statement under A applicability; citations and e	rticle 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step explanations supporting such statement	or industrial
1. Statement		
Novelty (N)	Claims Please See Continuation Sheet	YES
	Claims Please See Continuation Sheet	NO
Inventive Step (IS)	Claims Please See Continuation Sheet	YES
	Claims Please See Continuation Sheet	NO
Industrial Applicability (IA)	Claims Please See Continuation Sheet	YES
	Claims Please See Continuation Sheet	NO

2. Citations and Explanations (Rule 70.7)

Claims 1-9, 12, 13, 15, 16, 18, 19, 22-24, 26, 29-37, 39-42, 64-73, 76-83, 95-102, 105-112, 114-119, 122-126, 129-134, 137-141, and 144-150 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Molecular Staging, Inc. (WO 02/077256). The reference teaches a nucleic acid molecular hybridization method that uses probes that contain two regions that bind to the target. Probes that bind to the target are circularized and amplified via rolling circle amplification. The probes of the reference also contain tags and may contain a promoter for the detection of RNA transcribed from the probe. For example, see Figure 1, the Abstract, page 4, line 32 through page 22, line 10, and claims 1-162. The claims describe no more than the reference. Applicant's argument filed 25 October 2005 (page 2, last full paragraph and page 3, first full paragraph) is not convincing. Applicant asserts that Molecular Staging, Inc. (WO 02/077256) does not teach the se of a sequestering agent. This argument is not convincing in view of the teachings in the reference at, for example, page 11, lines 1-25 and page 13, lines 7-29. The reference uses a sequestering sequence to prevent rolling circle amplification in the absence of target sequences.

Claims 10, 11, 14, 17, 20, 21, 25, 27, 28, 38, 43-48, 74, 75, 84-94, 103, 104, 113, 120, 121, 127, 128, 135, 136, 142, 143, 151, and 152 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest the claimed methods.

Claims 1-48 and 64-152 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed can be made or used in industry.

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (Box No. V) (April 2005)

Supplemental Box

International application No. PCT/US04/27412

•
In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.
Continuation of:
V.1. Reasoned Statements:
The opinion as to Novelty was positive (Yes)with respect to claims 10, 11, 14, 17, 20, 21, 25, 27, 28, 38, 43-48, 74, 75, 84-94, 103, 104, 113, 120, 121, 127, 128, 135, 136, 142, 143, 151, and 152
The opinion as to Novelty was negative (No) with respect to claims 1-9, 12, 13, 15, 16, 18, 19, 22-24, 26, 29-37, 39-42, 64-73, 76-83, 95-102, 105-112, 114-119, 122-126, 129-134, 137-141, and 144-150
The opinion as to Inventive Step was positive (Yes) with respect to claims 10, 11, 14, 17, 20, 21, 25, 27, 28, 38, 43-48, 74, 75, 84-94, 103-104, 113, 120, 121, 127, 128, 135, 136, 142, 143, 151, and 152
The opinion as to Inventive Step was negative(NO) with respect to claims 1-9, 12, 13, 15, 16, 18, 19, 22-24, 26, 29-37, 39-42, 64-73, 76-83, 95-102, 105-112, 114-119, 122-126, 129-134, 137-141, and 144-150
The opinion as to Industrial Applicability was positive (YES) with respect to claims 1-48 and 64 152
The opinion as to Industrial Applicability was negative(NO) with respect to claims NONE
\cdot